

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 * * *

4 PHILLIP SEMPER, et al.,

Case No. 2:20-cv-01875-JCM-EJY

5 Plaintiffs,

6 v.
7 **ORDER**

8 LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE
9 DEPARTMENT, et al.,

Defendants.

10 Pending before the Court is Defendants' Motion to Extend Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion
11 to Strike or, in the Alternative, for Clarification (ECF No. 162). Defendants seek a three day
12 extension of time to "file their Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion to Strike or, in the alternative, for
13 Clarification." The reasons provided adequately support the requested extension. What is not
14 explained is why Defendants did not reach out to Plaintiffs to seek a stipulation to this short request
15 for extension. In the future a request of this nature, not first presented to opposing counsel for
16 potential agreement, will be denied without prejudice to ensure the parties speak before filing a
17 motion.

18 The above said, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendants' Motion to Extend Opposition
19 to Plaintiffs' Motion to Strike, or in the Alternative, for Clarification (ECF No. 162) is GRANTED.

20 Dated this 29th day of April, 2024.

21 
22 Elayna J. Youchah
23 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
24
25
26
27
28